

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	PPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/605,237	10/605,237 09/17/2003		Yu-Chuan Lin	9758-US-PA	3283	
31561	7590	12/01/2004		EXAMINER		
JIANQ CH	YUN IN	TELLECTUAL PR	DUVERNE, JEAN F			
7 FLOOR-1		0 D, SECTION 2	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	100	, bee11011 2	2839	2839		
TAIWAN			DATE MAILED: 12/01/2004			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					\mathcal{M}						
	Арр	lication No.	. /	Applicant(s)	ALC	/					
		605,237	L	IN ET AL.							
Office Action Summar	y Exar	miner	1	Art Unit							
		F. Duverne		2839							
The MAILING DATE of this con Period for Reply	munication appears o	on the cover shee	et with the cor	respondence ad	dress						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).											
Status											
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL.3) ☐ Since this application is in cond	This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.										
Disposition of Claims											
4a) Of the above claim(s) 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected	6)⊠ Claim(s) 1-13 is/are rejected. 7)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to.										
Application Papers		,									
9) The specification is objected to 10) The drawing(s) filed on is Applicant may not request that any Replacement drawing sheet(s) including The oath or declaration is objective.	s/are: a) accepted objection to the drawing the correction is r	g(s) be held in aborequired if the draw	eyance. See 3 wing(s) is objec	7 CFR 1.85(a). eted to. See 37 C	• •						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119											
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 											
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Rev 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-14- Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Paper			O-152)						

Application/Control Number: 10/605,237

Art Unit: 2839

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-3, 5-6, and 7₇9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Stout et al (US006612874B1).

In regard to claims 1-3, 5-6, Stout's device discloses an interface apparatus with a rotational mechanism (14) for connecting with an interface port in an electronic product, the interface apparatus comprising:

a body selected from a group comprising a non-volatile memory module, ROM, or a memory adapter (see fig. 3), a connector for connecting with an interface port; and a rotational mechanism (14) for linking the body and the connector being a universal serial bus (USB: see cols. 1 or 3), the rotational mechanism with a range of freedom movements.

In regard to claims 7,9, Stout's device discloses the aforementioned limitations including the rotational joint (fig. 4) as one of rotating features.

Application/Control Number: 10/605,237

Art Unit: 2839

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stout et al (US006612874B1).

Stout's device discloses the aforementioned limitations, but fails to disclose the connector having IEEE 1934. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill at the time the invention was made to have connector design in accordance to IEEE 1934, since it has been held to be within the general skill of worker in the art to select known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as matter of obvious design choice. In re Leshin, 125 USPQ 416. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill at the time the invention was made to have connector design in accordance to IEEE 1934 in order to meet the system specification and design in Stout's device.

Claims 8, 10-13 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stout et al (US006612874B1).

Stout's device discloses the aforementioned limitations, but fails to explicitly disclose the exact number of degrees of freedom of movement in the rotation which is an inherent feature. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at

the time the invention was made to have a number of degrees of freedom of movement in the rotation, since it has been held that discovering an optimum value of result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art. IN re Boesch, 617 F2d 272, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have a specific number of degrees of freedom of movement in the rotation in order to meet the system design and requirement.

Response to Amendment

Applicant's arguments filed with the amendment on 11/27/2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The claims do not define structural structure features that distinguish over prior art: For instance, a body selected from a group comprising a non-volatile memory module, ROM, or a memory adapter is broadly recited; in this case, by using the term "or", the examiner needs to find only one of the type of memory such as the memory adapter to meet the claims limitations. The rejection as stated above is proper. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

Art Unit: 2839

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jean F. Duverne whose telephone number is (571) 272-2091. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00-7:30, Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, TC Patel can be reached on (571) 272-2098. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JFD

11/27/2004

Jean Frantz Duverne Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2839